## **REPORT TO SCHOOLS FORUM**



13 October 2016

TITLE OF REPORT: Contingency Criteria Wording Update

#### **Purpose of the Report**

The purpose of this report is to bring to Schools Forum the updated wording for Gateshead's Contingency Criteria.

## **Background**

This report builds on the report brought to Schools Forum on 22 September.

During the discussion of the above report Schools Forum requested 2 further changes to the Contingency Criteria:-

- The removal of criterion 6 below as this is no longer relevant and is covered under the Schools and Early Years Finance Regulations.
- For in-year allocations to schools in respect of the admission of pupils
  permanently excluded by other schools. Such allocations will be determined in
  accordance with Regulations made by the Secretary of State under Section 47
  of the Schools Standards and Framework Act 1998.
  - The removal of the surplus balance percentages from the year end clawback mechanism.

If contingency is given and a school ends the same financial year with a surplus balance in excess of 16% for primary and special schools or 10% for secondary schools the contingency payment, or a proportion of it, will be clawed back.

It is also proposed that the below criteria be amended to include Education Health and Care Plans.

5. For in-year allocations to schools in respect of pupils with new or revised statements of SEN /**Education Health and Care Plan**, or for these-statemented pupils transferring between schools within the LEA.

#### **Proposal**

It is proposed that Schools Forum approves the requested amendments to the Contingency Criteria, and the suggested changes to Criteria 5 to reflect the move from children having statements to having Education Health and Care Plans.

## Recommendations

It is recommended that School Forum approves the proposed changes to Gateshead's Contingency Criteria.

# For the following reasons:

• To ensure that Gateshead's Contingency Criteria is up to date and quotes the most recent legislation and guidance.

**CONTACT: Carole Smith** 

#### **Appendix 1**

#### **Updated July 2016 Contingency Funding Criteria**

The LEA will retain centrally contingency funding that **could** provide in-year support to schools for:

- 1. Cost pressures specifically identified and caused by a relatively large numerical change in pupil numbers, especially if it relates to a single age-group, where the change is outside the control of the governing body and where the timing of the change in circumstances prevents no opportunity to the school to plan accordingly (e.g. housing demolition or compulsory purchase orders, or reorganisation)
- 2. The correction of significant errors in the data or in the application of the resource allocation formula.
- 3. Emergency costs arising from incidents outside the control of the governing body of the school (e.g. flood or fire damage). The money allocated for these purposes will be earmarked for specific use.
- 4. The provision of additional resources or other special support, temporarily, in response to a school as described in the DfE guidance "Schools Causing Concern" issued March 2016, and in accordance with Section 44 of the Education Act 2005, sections 60, 61 and 62 of the Education and Inspections Act 2006.
- 5. For in-year allocations to schools in respect of pupils with new or revised statements of SEN /Education Health and Care Plan, or for these pupils transferring between schools within the LEA.
- 6. Schools that are in financial difficulty, and can demonstrate that they have taken all reasonable measures to address financial issues, and that the current financial difficulties are not as a result of financial mismanagement. Schools must apply the LEA's "Model of Reasonableness" before making an application to demonstrate that they meet the criteria.

If contingency is given and a school ends the same financial year with a surplus the contingency payment, or a proportion of it, will be clawed back.